



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/853,504	05/11/2001	Dermot Tynan	19685-046	6854	
30623	7590 03/20/2006		EXAMINER		
MINTZ, LI	EVIN, COHN, FERRIS	ELISCA, F	ELISCA, PIERRE E		
AND POPEO, P.C. ONE FINANCIAL CENTER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•	BOSTON, MA 02111			3621	
			DATE MAILED: 03/20/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/853,504	TYNAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Pierre E. Elisca	3621	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			
Period for Reply		·	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N.  nely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 M 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-41 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access	wn from consideration. r election requirement.	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/853,504 Page 2

Art Unit: 3621

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This office action is in response to Application No. 09/853,504 filed on 05/11/2001.

2. Claims 1-41 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Bradshaw et al US 2001/0044834 A1 in view of Leung et al (U.S. Pat. No. 7,010,808). As per claims 1, 6-27 and 33-41- Bradshaw substantially discloses a method/apparatus for automatically depaloying data within a website development software application. An automatic synchronization features provide the ability to retrieve and store data in a manner that is transparent to a user, such as a website developer (or webmaster or delegator).

The method comprising of:

A delegator identifying content to be worked upon and delegating the work to a delegate (delegate or contributors performing website related tasks), sending to the delegate a manisfest describing the delegated work, the manifest defining the extent of work to be

Art Unit: 3621

done, receiving content from the delegate together with a returned manifest, each manifest (see., abstract, fig 1, pages 1-9, specifically [0051] and [0074].

Bradshaw fails to explicitly disclose the step of identifying and verifying the digital content. However, Leung discloses a digital content 12 as an identifier that is rendered on a device by transferring the content to the device (see., Leung, abstract, col 7, lines 1-44). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the web developer of Bradshaw by including the limitation detailed above as taught by Leung because this would allow webmaster or delegator to identify authorized users or contributors.

As per claims 2-5, 28-32 Leung discloses the claimed method in which, prior to assigning content to a delegate, a public cryptographic key is obtained from the delegate (see., Leung, abstract, col 9, lines 31-53).

## Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pierre E. Elisca whose telephone number is 571 272 6706. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on 571 272 6712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/853,504 Page 4

Art Unit: 3621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pierre Eddy Elisca

**Primary Patent examiner** 

March 13, 2006